



POPULAR ARTICLE

Operational management challenges in organic certification bodies

D. B. Patil

Corresponding authors email: shetkari.dipak@gmail.com

Manuscript received: June 10, 2026; Decision on manuscript, June 29, 2026; Manuscript accepted: July 12, 2026

Introduction and intricacies of the subject

Operational management is a critical function of an organic certification body (CB). While certification is generally associated with inspections and certification decisions, effective certification depends on a broader operational system that includes personnel management, inspection planning, workload allocation, document control, technical review, communication, technology, and quality assurance. As certification bodies manage an increasing number of operators and certification activities, efficient operational management is essential to providing timely services while maintaining consistency and certification integrity. This article examines the key operational management challenges faced by organic certification bodies, with particular emphasis on workload management, human-resource competency, inspection scheduling, documentation, internal communication, technology and quality assurance. It highlights the need for an integrated operational system that balances efficiency, technical competence, impartiality and continual improvement. Organic certification bodies perform several interconnected activities, including application processing, inspection planning, inspector assignment, inspection, technical review, certification decision-making and certificate

issuance. These activities require effective coordination between management, inspectors, reviewers and administrative personnel. Operational management is therefore more than administrative support. It is the system through which the certification body ensures that the right work is performed by competent personnel, within the required timeframe and according to established procedures. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.) The thesis identifies workload management, training and skills, technology, communication, quality assurance and related functions as important management challenges within certification bodies. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.).

Key operational management challenges

Workload and resource management

Workload is often uneven because certification activities are influenced by agricultural seasons, inspection requirements, renewal cycles and application volumes. During peak periods, certification bodies may face shortages of qualified inspectors and reviewers, resulting in delays in inspections, report review and certificate processing. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.).



Effective workload management requires planning, monitoring of staff capacity, appropriate allocation of assignments and maintenance of an adequate pool of qualified personnel. Cross-training and, where permitted, staggered scheduling can also help reduce seasonal pressure. The thesis specifically recommends workload-smoothing strategies and advance capacity planning. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.).

Inspector and staff competency

Competent personnel are essential for maintaining the reliability of certification activities. Certification bodies need to ensure that inspectors, reviewers and other technical personnel are assigned only to activities for which they have appropriate competence. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.; APEDA, n.d.; USDA AMS, n.d.) An effective competency-management system should include initial qualification assessment, regular training, monitoring of performance and training related to changes in procedures or applicable requirements. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.). The thesis recommends structured competency-based training and treating continuous regulatory-update training as a planned operational function rather than an ad hoc activity. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.).

Inspection planning and coordination

Inspection scheduling is a major operational responsibility. Assignments should consider inspector competence, geographical location, operator scope, production season, availability and impartiality. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.; APEDA, n.d.; USDA AMS, n.d.) Poor scheduling can result in unnecessary travel, inefficient use of inspectors and delayed certification activities. A centralized

inspection-planning system, supported by an updated inspector competency and availability database, can improve resource utilization. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.).

Document and record management

Certification activities generate substantial documentation, including applications, organic system plans, inspection reports, supporting evidence, corrective actions, review records and certification decisions. (APEDA, n.d.; USDA AMS, n.d.; European Union, 2018). Effective document management requires proper identification, version control, access control, retention and retrieval. Incomplete or incorrectly controlled documentation can delay technical review and certification decisions. (APEDA, n.d.; USDA AMS, n.d.; European Union, 2018). Operational efficiency therefore depends on ensuring that personnel have timely access to complete and current information. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.).

Technical review and certification workflow

The certification process can be viewed as a connected workflow:

Application → Review → Inspection Planning → Inspection → Report → Technical Review → Corrective Action → Certification Decision → Certificate

A delay at one stage can affect the entire process. Certification bodies should therefore establish measurable turnaround times and monitor pending applications, inspections, technical reviews, corrective actions and certification decisions. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.; APEDA, n.d.; USDA AMS, n.d.; European Union, 2018). Performance indicators can help management identify operational bottlenecks and take corrective action before delays become systemic.



Communication and coordination

Effective internal communication is essential because certification activities involve several functions. Application staff, inspectors, technical reviewers, certification personnel and management must exchange information accurately and on time. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.). Regular operational meetings and clearly defined responsibilities can improve coordination. Management should routinely review pending applications, upcoming inspections, delayed reports, open corrective actions, complaints and resource constraints. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.).

Technology and digital operations

Digital systems can improve application management, inspection scheduling, document control, reporting and performance monitoring. However, technology should support an effective operational process rather than simply digitize an inefficient one. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.) The thesis emphasizes infrastructure-appropriate technology, particularly where certification bodies serve rural and smallholder populations. Offline-capable and simple digital tools may be more practical than systems requiring continuous connectivity. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.). Technology adoption should also consider staff competency, data security, system reliability and vendor dependency. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.; IOAS, n.d.).

Quality assurance and continual improvement

Quality assurance should be integrated into daily operations rather than treated only as a final compliance check. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.; IOAS, n.d.). Certification bodies should monitor inspector performance, review quality, processing timelines, complaints, corrective actions and internal audit findings. Repeated operational problems should be investigated through root-cause analysis rather than addressed only through immediate correction. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.; IOAS, n.d.). A Plan–Do–Check–Act (PDCA) approach can support continual improvement by helping certification bodies plan activities, implement them, monitor performance and take corrective action.

Operational performance indicators

Certification bodies can use Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) to monitor operational effectiveness. Useful indicators include: (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.).

Application-processing time;

- Percentage of inspections completed on schedule;
- Inspection-report submission time;
- Technical-review turnaround time;
- Number of overdue corrective actions;
- Certification decision turnaround time;
- Inspector workload and utilization;
- Staff training completion; and
- Number and trend of operational complaints.

These indicators provide management with objective information for identifying bottlenecks and planning resources. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.).



Practical operational management framework

An effective certification body can organize operational management around eight interconnected areas:

Operational area	Main objective
Human Resources	Maintain adequate competent personnel
Workload Management	Balance workload and capacity
Inspection Management	Plan and complete inspections efficiently
Document Management	Maintain accurate and accessible records
Technical Review	Ensure timely and consistent review
Communication	Maintain effective information flow
Technology	Improve operational efficiency
Quality Assurance	Monitor and continually improve processes

These functions should operate as one integrated system rather than as separate departments.

Conclusion

Operational management is fundamental to the effective functioning of an organic certification body. Certification quality depends not only on technical standards and inspection competence but also on how effectively the organization manages people, workload, information, technology and internal processes. (APEDA, n.d.; USDA AMS, n.d.; European Union, 2018; IFOAM – Organics International, n.d.; IOAS, n.d.). The major operational challenges include workload fluctuations, inspector availability, competency management, inspection

scheduling, document control, technical-review capacity, communication and quality assurance. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.) An effective certification body should continuously monitor what work is pending, who is responsible, when it must be completed, what resources are required and whether the process is performing effectively. Strong operational planning, competent personnel, controlled documentation, effective communication, appropriate technology and continual improvement can enable certification bodies to improve efficiency while maintaining the consistency, impartiality and reliability expected from organic certification systems. (Organic Certification Thesis, n.d.; IFOAM – Organics International, n.d.; IOAS, n.d.).

References

1. Organic Certification Thesis. n.d. Management Challenges in Organic Certification Bodies. Chapter 3: Research Methodology and Analytical Framework.
2. Organic Certification Thesis. n.d. Management Challenges in Organic Certification Bodies. Chapter 7: Training and Competency Management; Chapter 5: Workload and Operational Management.
3. Organic Certification Thesis. n.d. Management Challenges in Organic Certification Bodies. Chapter 7: Technology Integration and Digital Divide Considerations.
4. Agricultural and Processed Food Products Export Development Authority (APEDA). n.d. National Programme for Organic



- Production (NPOP): National Standards for Organic Production. Government of India.
5. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS). n.d. National Organic Program (NOP), 7 CFR Part 205: National Organic Program.
 6. United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS). n.d. Strengthening Organic Enforcement (SOE) Rule.
 7. European Union. 2018. Regulation (EU) 2018/848 of the European Parliament and of the Council on organic production and labelling of organic products.
 8. IFOAM – Organics International. n.d. IFOAM Organics Standards and Family of Standards.
 9. International Organic Accreditation Service (IOAS). n.d. Organic Certification and Accreditation Guidance and Resources.